

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Vol. 62 No. 24

26<sup>th</sup> June 2026

IN THIS ISSUE

Are Borders Moral Failings? By Neville Archibald	1
Ongoing Budget Issues By Neville Archibald	3
Victorian FOI – Machete Bins By Neville Archibald	5

**Are Borders Moral Failings? By Neville Archibald**

The elites and globalists would say they were! The push to water down all existing societies and create the ultimate universal man, only seems to apply to those who are not of that elite grouping! Our individual cultures and rule books are supposed to be swept aside in the all-encompassing universal melting pot. What it really looks like in the western world, is rather different.

We see the rules that once applied to everyone, now relaxed and lenient on offenders, whose past may have included witnessing violence daily. Slap-on-the-wrist sentencing, and revolving-door-like bail procedures, see violent offenders back on the street same day in some cases. Gangs of young youths repeatedly flout the law and are killing each other as well as others daily on the streets. Police say they know who they are, and are watching them, but reality says otherwise! They are still out there!

What do we do with them? Commentators regularly decry the call for deportation, back to where they came from, as racist or heartless - the epitome of white privilege.

Concern for the greater population, those who abide by societies rules and who created the infrastructure we live in, is taking a back seat, we are no longer allowed to have a say in what the political elite want!

The paid talking heads of social control, rant and accuse anyone with Australian lifestyle views as anti – anti- what? - it doesn't matter. As long as it appears like they are 'privileged' and snobbish they can be derided for it. Make no mind that these are the very people who made this country what it is and continue for the most part to pay for it in their taxes.

Pauline Hanson recently called for a mono-culture Australia, in that we all live under the same rules and expectations. She rightly described ‘multiculturalism’ as unworkable! I agree, you cannot have a stable society where all cultures keep their own rules and ideals – it can only lead to friction where they differ! By all means keep some cultural identity, remember your heritage and your past, but we must all live by the same creed in this country. The reason migrants moved here in the past was for a better life, one that arose from the systems we live under! The development of this culture (and yes! We do have one!) is what has provided the stability and freedom we take for granted. Others who have fled persecution or war have left a less-than-ideal society, in many cases a failing one, falling under the spell of religious or political tyranny. The culture and the people of those countries have allowed this to happen. Do we want that culture repeated here? Are we ‘bad’ for not wanting the very thing they left, to take hold here too?

Multiculturalism is not a workable ideal, it can only ever fragment our society. Multi-racial or many variations of people is what we have been built on to some degree and I don’t know many who would deny that, but the acceptance of a new life and an adherence to the developed rules we live by, in Australia, has always been foremost – until now!

The vicious attacks and political scrambling in Parliament to demonise Pauline Hanson for her correctly stating what multiculturalism will do, only shows me the lack of depth of thinking, that those bleating, are prepared to do. Knee jerk political posturing, without looking crucially at what she is saying, is simply another method they are using to make out that One Nation, and Pauline in particular, are white supremacists or worse. Critical thinking and understanding are in limited supply in these discussions concerning what our future country should look like. I for one am glad Pauline has started the conversation again; we should be asking these questions in our own circles too!

I am reminded of the purpose of citizenship here, Walter Murdoch’s book *The Australian Citizen*, explains much of the responsibility we have to maintain our society, to keep the hard-won freedoms we live under (many of these are rarely even thought of – until they are threatened).

*"All the liberty we enjoy, the peace, the safety, the comfort, the well-being which our form of government secures for us, - all has been won for us by the efforts of those who went before us, and in some cases by their agony and blood. They fought and died to hand down to us what we accept almost without noticing it. And it is only by our own effort that this machine can be kept running smoothly and doing its work well; it is only by our own effort that it can be improved, so that it may do its work better."*

It is a timely read to fix in our minds, just what we should be doing and what we should be thinking about, while those in control in our current government are arguing, without as I see it, any real idea of what we as a people really want!

[https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Murdoch\\_W-The\\_Australian\\_Citizen.pdf](https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Murdoch_W-The_Australian_Citizen.pdf)

What we actually have now, is another discussion. Our 'taken for granted' common law, has risen over centuries to become what it is today. It is a sad thing that much of what we expect under common law, has been partially usurped by continued government decrees. But if we know our history (and that of common law) we are much better prepared for the arguments against it that follow this 'new direction' we are being pushed in. That which Pauline Hanson is trying to bring to our attention (whether she knows it or not, that is the crux of our discussion when talking of multiple cultures in one location).

The Book *Christian Philosophy in the Common Law*, by R. O'Sullivan, K.C. has a chapter entitled, The Fellowship of a Free Community, it is about breaking the chains of slavery, be it to the plough or to another man. About the reasons we sought the freedom expressed by common law. If we are to understand what exactly it is to be free, we must educate ourselves as to the origins of our emergence from all forms of slavery. We can then argue from a place of knowledge and remain clear, just what it is we mean. There are many other cultures in the world that do not have the freedoms we have, they are limited or restricted by their culture in ways that we would not put up with. The act of bringing in those cultures without true assimilation, or at rate where assimilation does not occur, just sees the development of enclaves (who then lobby for recognition) which will be disrupting at best, and destructive at worst. To keep a country in harmony, we must all live by the same rule. \*\*\*

[https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/OSullivan\\_R\\_Christian\\_Philosophy\\_In\\_The\\_Common\\_Law\\_Compressed.pdf](https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/OSullivan_R_Christian_Philosophy_In_The_Common_Law_Compressed.pdf)

## Ongoing Budget Issues By Neville Archibald

More questions are beginning to arise from the federal budget (still not entirely finalised despite it being pushed to be accepted). One of the more recent comments came from David Williams, best known for rescuing Tassel (Tasmanian salmon and aquaculture company) from receivership. Involved in investment and growth of companies, he said of the new tax overhaul, that it shows a "*fundamental lack of understanding about how long it takes to build a company and bring a product to market*".

In the *Sun Herald* news item, by Jarrod Lynch, June 22, 2026. he is reported as saying it will kick a big hole in Australian innovation and force new start-ups to go overseas - unless it is fixed in the finalisation of the tax overhauls' design.

Once again, I can only look on in wonder at the policy decisions being made on the fly. Do they really know what they are doing, or are they just following along with WEF intentions that ‘we own nothing and are happy’? How are we supposed to know? \*\*\*

## **The Fall of Keir Starmer By Neville Archibald**

Another day another UK prime minister, or so it seems (the seventh in ten years). Loss of public support, seen in the loss of local elections (May 2026 Labour won only 17% while Reform UK achieved 26%) has certainly helped the party make this decision. What will it change, is the bigger question. Starmer is set to take a step back; but will still be in parliament and still taking a part in governing – even if on the backbench. Like our Prime Minister, Anthony Albanese, Starmer was struggling to keep popularity after renegeing on promises (not unlike the previous PMs) yet changing the face out front changed little, it just bought time. Time which now seems to be almost up for the two original heavyweight parties. Reform UK is attracting votes from both, as the continuation of the global policies being enacted, are putting more and more people at odds with them. Like One Nation here, Reform is now being seen as a better alternative, even as the denigration and demonisation of that party continues. The playbook to refute Reform’s popularity and create a wedge of division on politically correct grounds is no longer working. The politically correct arguments are no longer holding out, people are becoming sick of being told facts are immutable and science has all the answers (when it is about control), while on the other hand, are being asked to believe basic contradictory physical things. That a woman is a difficult thing to determine and that there is a multiplicity of genders. The days of Orwell’s solid wind are limited.

One Nation here at home also stands accused of similar things, making comments that are supposed to be ‘conspiracy’ or denying the gods of man-made climate change and green electricity production.

Largely, the responses from the public to these accusations have been less dramatic and less widespread than the accusers hoped for. Many ‘normal’ people have already been questioning these very things, as the proofs are appearing. The great destruction of the environment where these energy alternatives are being built, the waste around the oldest of them being decommissioned. It was only a matter of time before the pudding cooked and the proof was in the tasting. The ramifications of other equally questionable policies are also being felt, as the pain of reality hits.

I believe the change of faces at a political level, no longer fools all the people all the time. As our countries gradually awaken, the serious questions will be asked. Those of us prepared, can answer truthfully only if we understand fully the extent

of the problems we face and the potential solutions that Douglas Social Credit has to offer. We must be prepared to play our part. We must continue to brush up on our education about these things. \*\*\*

## Europe is Backing Away from US Tech By Neville Archibald

Microsoft and google appear to be 'on the nose' in Europe, as the member countries seems to be exiting away from the US based internet and data companies. France is moving to Linux based technology, while Germany has rejected Palantir, and similar moves have been made in the Netherlands and elsewhere.

This comes at a time when the restructuring of world alliances seems to be happening. I wonder if this separation and the rise of AI data centres worldwide is bringing about competing companies, dividing up the world into groupings. Orwell's three great superstates, Oceania, Eurasia and Eastasia may be closer than we think. We are already seeing the three pillars of Ingsoc (English Socialism) appearing around us:

**Newspeak** artificially restricted language, designed to eliminate complex thought and make political rebellion literally unnameable. (hate speech laws).

**Doublethink** the ability to hold two mutually contradictory ideas as absolute truth. (what is a woman?)

**Mutability of the Past** or the revision and eradication of the past historical records, so that the party (or political correctness and whatever party is in power) is never wrong! (all the wrongs of our culture being pushed without the recognition of all the benefits it has delivered to us – and delivered us from).

What would Orwell think if he was witnessing our political direction today?

*"Political language... is designed to make lies sound truthful and murder respectable, and to give an appearance of solidity to pure wind!"* George Orwell.\*\*\*

## Victorian FOI – Machete Bins

Recently released FOI (freedom of Information) has revealed to Victorian taxpayers that they footed a \$3.6 million bill for advertising around the Machete Bin roll-out. In an attempt to lower the level of machete attacks (becoming all too real) very expensive steel bins were put outside many local police stations to encourage the 'law abiding' crazed machete wielders to surrender them. Cameras and proximity to police notwithstanding, they were expected to make a dent in the crimes being enacted by these violent criminals. The over-the-top cost however, made headlines from day one. A \$13 million budget all up, and only for

a three-month span, it would have made more sense to people if they just funded more policing or ensured that the courts dealt with them appropriately.

We now find that the advertising, cost more than the bins themselves, that a film production for it was done in Sydney rather than in Victoria and they had to buy the machetes they used as props.

The cost of the 40 bins and the cost of destroying the weapons deposited in them came to \$2.2 million, far less than the promotional material being used to convince us that we were going to be safer now.

What we fail to see after all that, is the resultant reduction in machete attacks. I guess it is hard to really tell what impact they might have made, but in a similar timeframe the Allan Labor government cut \$50 million from the police budget (2025 – 2026). For a government trying to convince us they are being hard on crime, I fail to see how that is working out.

Violent street attacks and knife related crime (along with continued Machete attacks) still appears to be rising. There are newspaper reports daily and plenty that are unreported too I'm guessing. Like the gun buybacks before them, only the responsible owners seem to have surrendered theirs. Take a look around leafy suburbia and look for unkempt gardens and rampant ivy, probably the home of a formerly legal machete owner – I guess they handed theirs in! \*\*\*



Annual Subscription to 'On Target' \$75.00 pa which includes an Insert, the On Target and the NewTimes Survey journals - printed and posted monthly.

Postal Address: PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.  
Telephone: 08 8322 8923 eMail: [heritagebooks@alor.org](mailto:heritagebooks@alor.org)

Online Bookstore : <https://veritasbooks.com.au/>  
Our main website of the Douglas Social Credit and the Freedom Movement "Archives" :: <https://alor.org/>  
On Target is printed and authorised by Arnis J. Luks  
13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.